

Interreg



Co-funded by
the European Union

IPA ADRION

Item 4

IPA ADRION Priority Axis 1 - SO 2.1

Overview of the outcomes of the quality assessment

547 TESI TN MASTER

14 MC meeting

25-26 November 2025, Tirana



Admissibility and Eligibility Checks



- JS Officers, four-eyes principle
- Support of NCPs
- Minor clarifications requested

The proposal can be considered as admissible and eligible



Assessment performed on operational elements only

Quality assessment performed by the JS

	Criterion	Attributed scores
Operational criteria	Work plan and management	15/25
	Communication	5/5
	Budget	11/20
	TOTAL	31/50

Quality assessment key outcomes:



- The work plan needs a detailed timeline, clearer roles, and a risk management plan
- Communication activities are well integrated and aligned with visibility requirements
- The budget is generally sound, some cost categories need justification

Quality Assessment conditions for improvement (1/2)



Quality assessment conditions for improvement:

- **Roles within the partnership** are not clearly explained — especially how each university contributes to the teaching modules, mobility, and internship organisation
- **Accreditation arrangements** have changed compared to the TESI Action Plan, but the reason and implications of this change needs to be explained
- **Internal coordination** among governance bodies needs a clear description of communication, decision-making, and reporting procedures
- **Master implementation** is described in very general terms — more details must be provided for the timeline, workload (ECTS per module), and student evaluation methods

Quality Assessment conditions for improvement (2/2)



Quality assessment conditions for improvement:

- **Student support system** (mobility, accommodation, insurance, financial support) needs more details and justification of amounts
- **Sustainability plan** — it must demonstrate how the Master will continue after project closure
- **Budget** need more details on staff involvement, justification of external expertise, and detailed explanation of student support costs



Accreditation assessment performed by the external expert

The overall assessment of the Joint Master's Programme in Training and Education for Social Innovation is positive

Accreditation assessment conditions for improvement regarding:

- **Admission and selection**, composition of the Admission Board, insurance of inclusivity
- **Learning outcomes and curriculum**, internship and mobility assessment, mapping of specific skills and competences
- **Student support and services**, career services, coaching, counselling, or psychological support, support materials detailing administrative procedures, campus facilities, and resources
- **Quality assurance**, evaluation feedback integrated into curriculum and programme improvements, mechanisms to measure key quality indicators

Anti-fraud checks



- MA performed the checks on potential beneficiaries of Croatia, Italy and Slovenia based on ARACHNE database
- NCP Greece analyzed the documentation enclosed in a self-declaration submitted by the potential beneficiary
- The NCPs of Albania and Serbia performed the checks on the potential beneficiaries from Albania and Serbia with the support of EU Delegations using the EDES (Early Detection and Exclusion System) database

No fraudulent behavior was identified for the beneficiaries checked

Negotiation process



If the MC approves the outcomes of the assessment and accreditation process, the Lead Partner will be informed about the MC decisions and the conditions for improvement.

As the current accreditation is going to expire soon and be renewed, the negotiation phase shall take place in two steps:

- a) the fulfilment of conditions related to the quality assessment can start immediately;
- b) the requests for improvement of the accreditation shall be cross-checked both at the time of submission of the updated accreditation and along with step (a), as some of them can be directly incorporated into the application form.

The MC will be informed about the conclusion of the process once step (b) has been successfully finalized and the accreditation has been renewed.



The Managing Authority asks the MC to approve:

- the admissibility of the proposal after the requests for revised supporting documentation
- the eligibility of the proposal
- the quality assessment
- the received feedback on the anti-fraud checks
- the accreditation assessment
- the proposed conditions for improvement

The MA/JS reserve the right to proceed with a budget revision in case the requested clarifications are considered as not satisfactory.

The MC shall be informed by the MA/JS once the missing anti-fraud checks, the entire negotiation process and the accreditation renewal have been completed.

Only afterwards the grant contract shall be sent to the lead partner.